

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,693	02/10/2004	Victor Katsap	08850001AA	7391
30743 7	590 05/25/2006		EXAMINER	
•	CURTIS & CHRISTOI	WILLIAMS,	WILLIAMS, JOSEPH L	
11491 SUNSE SUITE 340	11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD SUITE 340			PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA	20190		2879	

DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/774,693	KATSAP, VICTOR				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph L. Williams	2879				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Sply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 June 2004</u>. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Examplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examplicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contained are subjected to by the example.	nd/or election requirement. niner. accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/29/05.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-15, 17-22, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kim (US 4,528,474), of record by Applicant.

Regarding claim 1, Kim ('474) teaches in figure 4 and Example 2, a thermionic cathode (27) comprising a crystalline emitter (55) having a tip (29) and a cone (no number); and a carbon coating (56) applied to the outer surface of said cone.

Regarding claim 2, Kim ('474) teaches a crystalline emitter is single crystal Lanthanum Hexaboride (LaB₆) (see Example 2).

Regarding claim 3, Kim ('474) teaches cone has a cone angle in the range of 20 to 60 degrees (read cone angle less than 60 degrees, column 7, lines 42-43).

Regarding claim 5, Kim ('474) teaches the cone has a surface micro-roughness and wherein said carbon coating has a thickness of a least twice said micro-roughness (read all surfaces have a roughness, even if roughness equals zero. Therefore, any thickness would be greater than zero).

Regarding claim 6, Kim ('474) teaches the thickness is 2 microns (column 10, lines 18-19).

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 7, Kim ('474) teaches an improvement in a thermionic cathode (27) having a crystalline emitter (55) with a tip (29) and a cone (no number), the improvement comprising: a carbon coating (56) applied to an outer surface of said cone.

Regarding claim 8, Kim ('474) teaches a crystalline emitter is single crystal Lanthanum Hexaboride (LaB₆) (see Example 2).

Regarding claim 9, Kim ('474) teaches cone has a cone angle in the range of 20 to 60 degrees (read cone angle less than 60 degrees, column 7, lines 42-43).

Regarding claim 11, Kim ('474) teaches the cone has a surface micro- roughness and wherein said carbon coating has a thickness of a least twice said micro-roughness (read all surfaces have a roughness, even if roughness equals zero. Therefore, any thickness would be greater than zero).

Regarding claim 12, Kim ('474) teaches the thickness is 2 microns (column 10, lines 18-19).

Regarding claim 13, Kim ('474) teaches in figures 1 and 4, and in example 2, an electron emission apparatus, comprising a thermionic cathode (27) comprising a crystalline emitter (55) having a tip (29) and a cone (no number); and a carbon coating (56) applied to the outer surface of said cone; an emitter heater (23, 23'), and a support (20) for said crystalline emitter.

Regarding claim 14, Kim ('474) teaches a crystalline emitter is single crystal Lanthanum Hexaboride (LaB₆) (see Example 2).

Regarding claim 15, Kim ('474) teaches cone has a cone angle in the range of 20 to 60 degrees (read cone angle less than 60 degrees, column 7, lines 42-43).

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 17, Kim ('474) teaches the cone has a surface micro- roughness and wherein said carbon coating has a thickness of a least twice said micro-roughness (read all surfaces have a roughness, even if roughness equals zero. Therefore, any thickness would be greater than zero).

Regarding claim 18, Kim ('474) teaches the thickness is 2 microns (column 10, lines 18-19).

Regarding claim 19, Kim ('474) teaches a method of manufacturing a crystalline emitter for use in a thermionic cathode, comprising the step of applying a carbon coating to an outer surface of a cone of said crystalline emitter.

Regarding claim 20, Kim ('474) teaches the carbon coating contains no pinholes (It is known I the art that .1 microns is the minimum thickness to apply carbon to prevent pinholes).

Regarding claim 21, Kim ('474) teaches a crystalline emitter is single crystal Lanthanum Hexaboride (LaB₆) (see Example 2).

Regarding claim 22, Kim ('474) teaches cone has a cone angle in the range of 20 to 60 degrees (read cone angle less than 60 degrees, column 7, lines 42-43).

Regarding claim 24, Kim ('474) teaches the cone has a surface micro- roughness and wherein said carbon coating has a thickness of a least twice said micro-roughness (read all surfaces have a roughness, even if roughness equals zero. Therefore, any thickness would be greater than zero).

Regarding claim 25, Kim ('474) teaches the thickness is 2 microns (column 10, lines 18-19).

Art Unit: 2879

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 10, 16, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim (US 4,528,474), of record by Applicant.

Regarding claims 4, 10, 16, and 23, Kim ('474) teaches all of the claimed limitations except for the teaching of pyrolytic carbon or diamond-like carbon as the carbon coating.

However, further regarding claims 4, 10, 16, and 23, Kim ('474) teaches in column 6, lines 33-36, that the coating on the cone should have a work function, low vapor pressure and a high melting point, but also hardly reacts chemically with the thermoelectron emissive material at an operational temperature. It is known in the art of field emission that both claimed carbons meet these limitations, thus one of ordinary skill in the art would know to use either pyrolytic carbon or diamond-like carbon for the purpose of having a coating which has a work function, low vapor pressure and a high melting point, but also hardly reacts chemically with the thermoelectron emissive material at an operational temperature.

Hence it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the either pyrolytic carbon or diamond-like carbon for the

Art Unit: 2879

carbon coating on the thermionic cathode of Kim for the purpose of having a coating which has a work function, low vapor pressure and a high melting point, but also hardly reacts chemically with the thermoelectron emissive material at an operational temperature.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Early et al. (US 5,356,662) teaches the state of the art for the thickness coating of carbon.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph L. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-2465. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,693 Page 7

Art Unit: 2879

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joseph L. Williams Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879